Radioprotective and Radiosensitizing Effects of Sulfur-Containing Amino Acid Derivatives on Mice

Akihisa Nishimura, Michinobu Hashimoto, Katsunobu Konno, Yasuhiko Ohta,

Radiological Technology Course, Kawasaki Paramedical College, Kurashiki, Okayama Prefecture, Japan

Satoshi Tahara, and Hiroyuki Nishimura

Department of Agricultural Chemistry, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

Z. Naturforsch. 35 c, 726-728 (1980); received July 25, 1979/March 4, 1980

Radioprotector, Radiosensitizer, Sulfur Amino Acids, Hydantoins

Both protection and sensitization of Mice C57BL against 60 Co γ -rays by sulfur-containing amino acid derivatives — S-alkyl-L-cysteines, S-alkyl-2-methyl-DL-cysteines and their hydantoin derivatives, and sulfoxides of these compounds — were examined. DL-5-Allylthiomethyl-5-methylhydantoin (150 mg/kg body weight) had a remarkable radioprotective effect. The survival ratio was 4.33 or above two times as much as that of L-cysteine. On the other hand, its sulfoxide had a radiosensitizing effects; survival ratio, 0.333.

Since the discovery of chemical radiation protection [1, 2], many different kinds of sulfur compounds have been tested to find out their protective action on different biological systems [3]. Some very effective radioprotectors such as cysteamine, glutathione and so on which exhibit maximum protection when given in large doses are toxic to the mammals [4-8]. On the other hand, the exploration of radiosensitizer is also very important in the clinical cancer research [9-13].

So far we have been concerned with γ -radiolysis and UV-photolysis of sulfur-containing amino acids which occur in *Allium* plants such as onion and garlic in aqueous systems [14]. Furthermore, the radioprotective effect of these amino acid derivatives on *E. coli* has been examined [15]. The results indicated that the hydantoin derivatives of S-alkyl-cysteines were good radioprotectors on *E. coli*.

From a viewpoint of exploration of new radioprotectors and radiosensitizers on mammals, the effects of sulfur-containing amino acid derivatives on the survival of γ -irradiated mice were examined.

Materials and Methods

Sulfur-containing amino acid derivatives shown in Fig. 1 were synthesized according to the previous reports [16–19]. Mice (C57BL, 5 weeks old male)

Reprint requests to Akihisa Nishimura. 0341-0382/80/0900-0726 \$ 01.00/0

were subjected to 750 rad of γ -rays (dose rate, 46.4 rad/min) from 60 Co in 30 min after a single intraperitoneal injection of 0.75 m mol/kg body weight of each compound. On 15 days and 30 days after irradiation, survived mice were counted.

Results and Discussion

The radioprotective and radiosensitizing effects of sulfur-containing amino acid derivatives on mice were summarized in Table I. In the previous data [15], S-allyl compounds were more effective than S-

$$R_1$$
: $CH_3CH_2CH_2$ -, CH_2 = $CHCH_2$ -, R_2 : H -, CH_3 -

Fig. 1. General formulas of radioprotective and radiosensitizing sulfur-containing amino acid derivatives.



Dieses Werk wurde im Jahr 2013 vom Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in Zusammenarbeit mit der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V. digitalisiert und unter folgender Lizenz veröffentlicht: Creative Commons Namensnennung-Keine Bearbeitung 3.0 Deutschland Lizenz.

This work has been digitalized and published in 2013 by Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in cooperation with the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science under a Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 3.0 Germany License.

Table I. Effects of sulfur-containing amino acids and these derivatives on survivors of γ -irradiated mice.

No.	Compounds	750 rad γ-irradiated mice survived (ratio)	
		15 days	30 days
1.	HS-CH ₂ CHCOOH NH ₂	8 (0.889)	6 (2.00)
2.	$CH_2 = CHCH_2 - S - CH_2CHCOOH$ NH_2	6 (0.669)	2 (0.667)
3.	CH₃CH₂CH₂−S−CH₂CHCOOH NH₂	7 (0.778)	4 (1.33)
4.	CH ₂ =CHCH ₂ -S-CH ₂ CHCOOH NHCOCH ₂ NH ₂	8 (0.889)	5 (1.67)
5.	CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₂ –S–CH ₂ CHCOOH NHCOCH ₂ NH ₂	7 (0.778)	6 (2.00)
6.	HS-CH ₂ CH-C=O NH NH C U	10 (1.11)	10 (3.33)
7.	O HS-CH ₂ CH ₂ NH ₂	18 (2.00)	14 (4.67)
8.	$CH_2 = CHCH_2 - S - CH_2CH - C = O$	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
	NH NH C C B O CH ₃		
9.	$CH_2 = CHCH_2 - S - CH_2C - C = 0$ $NH NH$ C C 0	19 (2.11)	13 (4.33)
0.	CH_{3} $CH_{3}CH_{2}CH_{2}-S-CH_{2}C-C=O$ $ $	20 (2.22)	14 (4.67)
1.	$ \begin{array}{c} O \\ \uparrow \\ CH_2 = CHCH_2 - S - CH_2CHCOOH \\ & NH_2 \\ O & CH_3 \end{array} $	6 (0.669)	3 (1.00)
2.	$CH_2 = CHCH_2 \stackrel{\uparrow}{S} - CH_2 \stackrel{\downarrow}{C} - C = O$ $NH \stackrel{\downarrow}{NH}$ C	3 (0.333)	1 (0.333)
3.	O no added ^a	9 (1.00)	3 (1.00)
14.	no irradiated ^b	20-	20-

^a Irradiated without adding drugs. ^b No irradiated and no drugs added.

n-propyl compounds for radioprotection of *E. coli*. However, in the case of mice, the result was in reverse. Furthermore hydantoin derivatives were much more effective than original S-alkyl-L-cysteines. The most effective radioprotector which has been prepared by us is DL-5-n-propyl-thiomethyl-5methylhydantoin (entry No. 10) as shown in Table I. The radioprotective effect of DL-5-n-propyl-thiomethyl-5-methylhydantoin was as large as that of cysteamine; survival ratio, 4.67. L-5-Allylthiomethylhydantoin (entry No. 8) was very toxic for mice; the injection of 0.75 m mol or 139.5 mg/kg body weight of the compound gave rise to 100% of death without y-irradiation.

Sulfoxide amino acids such as S-allyl-L-cysteine sulfoxide (entry No. 11) which occur in garlic exhi-

bited radiosensitizing effect on E. coli [15], but they did not have any radiobiological effect on mice. On the other hand, DL-5-allylthiomethyl-5-methylhydantoin sulfoxide (entry No. 12) which is the hydantoin derivative of S-allyl-2-methyl-DL-cysteine sulfoxide exhibited significant radiosensitizing effect on mice; survival ratio 0.333. The injection of experimental dose of DL-5-allylthiomethyl-5-methylhydantion sulfoxide (162.0 mg/kg body weight) did not decreased the survivals of mice at all.

It might be important to elucidate the correlation between y-radiolysis mechanism of sulfur-containing compounds [20] and radioprotective or radiosensitizing action in vivo.

- [1] H. M. Patt, E. B. Tyree, R. L. Straube, and D. E. Smith, Science 110, 213-214 (1949).
- [2] E. P. Cronkite, G. Brecher, and W. H. Chapman, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. **76**, 396–398 (1951).
- [3] M. Langendorf and R. Koch, Strahlentherapie 106, 451–455 (1958) and references cited therein.
- [4] P. Eker and A. Phil, Radiat. Res. 21, 165-179 (1964).
- W. K. Sinclair, Science 159, 442–444 (1968).
- [6] P. Mitznegg and M. Sabel, Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 24, 329 - 337 (1973).
- [7] Y. Takagi, M. Shikita, T. Terashima, and S. Aka-boshi, Radiat. Res. 60, 292–301 (1974).
- [8] T. Mori, H. Horikawa, O. Nikaido, and T. Sugahara, J. Radiat. Res. **19**, 319 – 335 (1978).
- [9] J. D. Chapman, A. P. Reuvers, J. Borsa, A. Petkau, and D. R. McCalla, Cancer Res. 32, 2616-2624 (1972).
- [10] J. Cadet and R. Teoule, J. Radiat Res. 18, 93-101
- [11] T. Ohno, T. Saeki, M. Urano, I. Watanabe, and M. Shikita, J. Radiat. Res. 18, 275-279 (1977).

- [12] K. Ono, T. Nakajima, M. Hiraoka, A. Matsumiya, and Y. Onoyama, Abstract of the 6th International Congress of Radiation Research (Tokyo) B-2-1, p. 112 (1979)
- [13] R. P. Hill, Abstract of the 6th International Congress of Radiation Research (Tokyo) B-2-5, p. 113 (1979).
- [14] H. Nishimura and J. Mizutani, J. Org. Chem. 40, 1567-1575 (1975) and references cited therein.
- [15] S. Tahara, H. Nishimura, and J. Mizutani, Nippon Nôgeikagaku Kaishi 47, 193-200 (1973).
- [16] H. Nishimura, S. Kawakishi, and M. Namiki, Agric.
- Biol. Chem. **34**, 609–616 (1970). [17] S. Tahara and Y. Obata, Agric. Biol. Chem. **35**, 53 - 57 (1971).
- [18] H. Nishimura, S. Tahara, H. Okuyama, and J. Mizutani, Tetrahedron 28, 4503-4513 (1972).
- [19] J. Mizutani, Y. Miura, and S. Tahara, J. Pesticide Sci. 4, 17-23 (1979).
- [20] H. Nishimura, J. Mizutani, Y. Obata, and M. Namiki, Tetrahedron 27, 307-313 (1971) and references cited therein.